

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/684,869		10/06/2000	David Allison Bennett	PSTM0009/MRK/STM 2834	
29524	7590	12/09/2005		EXAMINER	
KHORSAN	NDI PATI	ENT LAW GROU	WEBB, JAMISUE A		
140 S. LAK	E., SUITE	312		<del></del>	·
PASADENA	A, CA 91	101-4710	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3629	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/684,869	BENNETT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jamisue A. Webb	3629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ma	ay 2005.						
, <del>_</del> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	action is non-final.	· ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		· : :					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>64-79</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>64-79</u> is/are rejected.		; ;					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	:					
Application Papers	·						
		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer, Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		;					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	·					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
		· :					
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ateatent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2004.1124; 20050278; 2005		atont Application (FTO-104)					
, d-	· — —						

Application/Control Number: 09/684,869 Page 2

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 64-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kara et al.(6,233,568) in view of Barnett et al (6,369,840).
- 4. With respect to Claim 64, 68-79: Kara discloses the use of a shipping management computer system (see abstract) for:
  - a. Receiving a set of package specifications (Figure 8, Box 802);
  - b. Determining multiple shipping rates (first and second) for a first carrier (Figure 8, Boxes 807 and 808);
  - c. Determining multiple shipping rates (third and fourth) for a second carrier (Figure 8, Boxes 807 and 808);

Application/Control Number: 09/684,869 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

d. Receiving a request from a user to ship a package using one of the services by one of the carriers (See Figure 8, Column 5, lines 56-67);

- e. Facilitating the delivery of the package (Column 6, lines 1-6).
- 5. Kara, however fails to disclose simultaneously displaying the shipping rates. Barnet discloses the use of a calendar which can be used for online purchasing of services (column 2, lines 63-67), where there is simultaneous display of services offered with respect to providers and time and date (See Figure 9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nicholls and Kara to display the calculation of shipping rates, calculated by Nicholls and Kara in the format of a simultaneous display, as disclosed by Barnett, in order to provide a multi-layers system wherein different categories can be overlaid on one another providing a single integrated display that allows a user to order or purchase a system based on the calendar day and time (See Barnett, column 2).
- 6. With respect to Claims 65 and 66: See Figure 8, Box 807.
- 7. With respect to Claim 67: See Barnett, Figure 9.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 64-79 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/684,869

Art Unit: 3629

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jamisue Webb

JOHN G. WEISS DERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3300